		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
-		09/960,615	YODER ET AL.	ý
	Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671 .	
herewith (o NOTICE Of of the Office	The MAILING DATE of this communication appoints allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Report or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in a portion of the community or other appropriate community of the com	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due cou	ırse. THIS
1. 🔀 I his	communication is responsive to 12/31/2003 (RCE + A	<u>Amendment)</u> .		
2. 🛭 The	allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-6,8-25,27-29 and 32-40</u> .			
3. 🔲 The	drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	г.		
* Ce Applicant noted belong the first THF 5. A SUNINFO 6. COR (a) i (b) i I Identifying each sho	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the some of the: 1.	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file affect of this application. ditted. Note the attached EXAMES reason(s) why the oath or cost be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review of Amendment / Comment or in the header according to 37 CFR sit of BIOLOGICAL MATER	No in this national stage application a reply complying with the require MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTE declaration is deficient. (PTO-948) attached at the Office action of drawings in the front (not the bac 1.121(d). RIAL must be submitted. Note	ements ICE OF
2. ☐ Notice 3. ☐ Inform Pape 4. ☐ Exam	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No./Mail Date iner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit orgical Material	6. Interview Sur Paper No./M 7. Examiner's A	lail Date mendment/Comment tatement of Reasons for Allowar	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-37)

Application No. 09/960,615

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: Formal drawings proposed with the marked up drawing copies and as proposed in the remarks filed 12/31/2003 will be acceptable.